arXiv:1602.08380v1 [math.GN] 26 Feb 2016

THE ELLIS SEMIGROUP OF A NONAUTONOMOUS DISCRETE DYNAMICAL SYSTEM

S. GARCÍA-FERREIRA AND M. SANCHIS

Abstract. We introduce the *Ellis semigroup* of a nonautonomous discrete dynamical system $(X, f_{1,\infty})$ when X is a metric compact space. The underlying set of this semigroup is the pointwise closure of $\{f_1^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in the space X^X. By using the convergence of a sequence of points with respect to an ultrafilter it is possible to give a precise description of the semigroup and its operation. This notion extends the classical Ellis semigroup of a discrete dynamical system. We show several properties that connect this semigroup and the topological properties of the nonautonomous discrete dynamical system.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

A general form of a nonautonomous difference equation is the following: Given a compact metric space (X, d) and a sequence of continuous function $(f_n : X \to X)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, for each $x \in X$ we set

$$\begin{cases} x_0 = x, \\ x_{n+1} = f_n(x_n). \end{cases}$$

These kind of nonautonomous difference equations have been considered by several mathematicians (see for instance, among others, [19], [20]). The most classical examples are when $X = [0, 1]$ is the unit interval, and d is the usual euclidean metric. After seeing the definition of a nonautonomous discrete dynamical system, we observe that the orbit of a point forms a solution of a nonautonomous difference equation.

In this paper, we shall apply the notion of convergence with respect to an ultrafilter on the natural numbers $\mathbb{N}$ to the study of the nonautonomous discrete dynamical system. This kind of convergence has been a very powerful tool in the study of several topological aspects of a discrete dynamical system. In particular, it is very useful to handle the elements of the Ellis semigroup as we can seen in the papers [3], [11], [12] and [13]. The aim of this paper is twofold. First we introduce and study the Ellis semigroup of a nonautonomous discrete dynamical system. Second, we point out how this convergent theory can be used in the context of nonautonomous dynamical systems. So far as we know, it is the first time that this kind of convergence has been applied in the framework of nonautonomous discrete dynamical systems.

Our topological spaces will be compact and metric. Usually, d will denote the metric of a metric space X and $B(x, \epsilon)$ the ball with center x and radius $\epsilon > 0$. The set of neighborhoods of a point $x \in X$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{N}(x)$. If $f : X \to Y$ is a continuous function between Tychonoff spaces, then $\overline{f} : \beta(X) \to \beta(Y)$ will stand for the Stone extension of f. A subbasic open subset of a power space X^I is denoted by $[x, U] = \{f \in X^I : f(i) \in V\}$ where $i \in I$ and U is a nonempty open subset of X. The Stone-Čech compactification $\beta(\mathbb{N})$ of the natural numbers $\mathbb{N}$ with the discrete topology will be identified with the set of all ultrafilters on $\mathbb{N}$, and its remainder $\mathbb{N}^* = \beta(\mathbb{N}) \setminus \mathbb{N}$ with the set of all free ultrafilters on $\mathbb{N}$. Notice that each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ can be identified with the fixed ultrafilter $\{A \subseteq \mathbb{N} : n \in A\}$. If $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, then $\hat{A} = cl_{\beta(\mathbb{N})}A = \{p \in \beta(\mathbb{N}) : A \in p\}$ is a basic clopen subset of $\beta(\mathbb{N})$, and $A^* = \hat{A} \setminus A = \{p \in \mathbb{N}^* : A \in p\}$ is a basic clopen subset of $\mathbb{N}^*$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54G20, 54D80, 22A99: secondary 54H11.

Key words and phrases. free ultrafilter, discrete dynamical system, nonautonomous discrete dynamical system, Ellis semigroup, compact metric space, p-limit point.

Research of the first-named author was supported by CONACYT grant no. 81368-F and PAPIIT grant no. IN-101911. Hospitality and financial support received from the *Department of Mathematics of Jaume I University (Spain)* where this research was essentially performed are gratefully acknowledged. The research of the second-named author was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Education (Grant number MTM2011-23118), and by Bancaixa (Projecte P1·1B2011-30.

Recall that a *discrete dynamical system* is a pair (X, f) where X is a compact metric space and $f\colon X \to X$ is a continuous function. A *nonautonomous discrete dynamical system* is a pair $(X, f_{1,\infty})$ where X is a compact metric space and $f_{1,\infty}$ is a sequence of continuous functions $(f_n : X \to X)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. The nonautonomous discrete dynamical systems were introduced by S. Kolyada and L. Snoha in the article [18]. The paper [1] describes some recent developments on the theory of nonautonomous discrete dynamical systems (see also [4], [5], [7], [8], [6] and [19]). Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the *n-iterate* of a nonautonomous discrete dynamical system $(X, f_{1,\infty})$ is the composition

$$f_1^n := f_n \circ f_{n-1} \circ ..... \circ f_2 \circ f_1.$$

The symbol f_1^0 will stand for the identity map. A discrete dynamical system (X, f) coincides with the nonautonomous discrete dynamical system $(X, f_{1,\infty})$ where $f_n = f$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $(X, f_{1,\infty})$ is a nonautonomous discrete dynamical system, then the *orbit* of a point $x \in X$ is the set

$$\mathcal{O}_{f_{1,\infty}}(x) := \{x, f_1^1(x), f_1^2(x), ..., f_1^n(x), ...\}.$$

The *Ellis semigroup* of a discrete dynamical system (X, f), denoted by $E(X, f)$, is the closure of $\{f^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ inside of the compact space X^X. It well-known that $E(X, f)$ is a compact semigroup whose operation is the composition of functions (see, for instance, [12], [13] and [14]). The Ellis semigroup of a discrete dynamical system was introduced by R. Ellis in [9] and has played a very important role in topological dynamics. The article [14] offers an excellent survey concerning applications of the Ellis semigroup.

The second section is devoted to define and describe the Ellis semigroup for a nonautonomous discrete dynamical system. Several basic properties of the Ellis semigroup are proved in this section. In the third section, we study the Ellis semigroup of those nonautonomous discrete dynamical systems $(X, f_{1,\infty})$ for which the sequence $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to a function $\phi : X \to X$.

2. The Ellis semigroup

We start with the description of the underlying space of the Ellis semigroup of a nonautonomous discrete dynamical system.

Definition 2.1. *The Ellis semigroup of a nonautonomous discrete dynamical system $(X, f_{1,\infty})$ is the pointwise closure of the set $\{f_1^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ inside of the compact space X^X. This compact space will be denoted by $E(X, f_{1,\infty})$.*

Our next task is the definition of the semigroup operation of $E(X, f_{1,\infty})$ which justifies the name Ellis semigroup and we shall also explain why this operation extends the original operation for the case of a discrete dynamical system. To have this done we shall follow some ideas from the papers [12] and [13]. One of such ideas is the use of the p-limit point of a sequence of points, where $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$:

Let X be a space and $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$. A point $x \in X$ is said to be the *p-limit point* of a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in X (in symbols, $x = p - \lim_{n\to\infty} x_n$) if for every neighborhood V of x, $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \in V\} \in p$. The notion of p-limit point was introduced by several mathematicians in distinct contexts, for instance we can mention R. A. Bernstein [2] and H. Furstenberg [10, p. 179]. We remark that each sequence of a compact space always has a p-limit point for every $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Indeed, if $f : \mathbb{N} \to X$ is an arbitrary function and X is compact and Hausdorff, then $\overline{f}(p)$ is precisely the p-limit point of the sequence $(f(n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ (this fact is explained in [15]). Thus, the p-limit point of a sequence in a compact space not only exists but is unique. Besides, the p-limit points are preserved under continuous functions. We also remark that a point $x \in X$ is an accumulation point of a countable set $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of X iff there is $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $x = p - \lim_{n\to\infty} x_n$.

Definition 2.2. *Let $(X, f_{1,\infty})$ be a nonautonomous discrete dynamical system. For each $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we define the function $f_1^p : X \to X$ by*

$$f_1^p(x) = p - \lim_{n\to\infty} f_1^n(x),$$

for every $x \in X$. This function f_1^p is called the p-iterate of the nonautonomous discrete dynamical system $(X, f_{1,\infty})$, for each $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$. For a discrete dynamical system $E(X, f)$, we simply write f^p for each $p \in \beta(\mathbb{N})$.

Notice that all iterates f_1^n's are always continuous. However, the functions f_1^p's could be all discontinuous: For instance, let $X = [0,1]$ and consider any sequence of continuous functions $(f_n : X \to X)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $f_n(x) \to 0$ for each $x \in (0,1)$, $f_n(0) = 0$ and $f_n(1) = 1$ and $f_{n+1} < f_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, then we have that $f^p(x) = 0$ for every $x < 1$, and $f^p(1) = 1$. It then follows that f^p is discontinuous at 1, for all $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$. In Theorem 3.7, we shall give some condition in order that the function f_1^p be continuous, for every $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

The following theorem shows that the elements of the Ellis semigroup of a nonautonomous discrete dynamical system are precisely the p-iterations of the sequence $f_{1,\infty}$. The proof of this result is the same as the proof of Theorem 2.2 from [13], but we would like to include it to help the reader be more familiar with the p-limit points.

Theorem 2.3. *For every nonautonomous discrete dynamical system $(X, f_{1,\infty})$, we have that*

$$E(X, f_{1,\infty}) = \{f_1^p : p \in \beta(\mathbb{N})\}$$

and $f_1^p = p - \lim_{n\to\infty} f_1^n$, for all $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, in the pointwise topology.

Proof. The function $\psi : \mathbb{N} \to X^X$ given by $\psi(n) = f_1^n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is evidently continuous. Let us consider its Stone extension $\overline{\psi} : \beta(\mathbb{N}) \to X^X$. We know that

$$\overline{\psi}(p) = p - lim_{n\to\infty}\psi(n) = p - lim_{n\to\infty} f_1^n = f_1^p,$$

for all $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Since $\overline{\psi}[\mathbb{N}] = \psi[\mathbb{N}]$ is dense in the compact space $E(X, f_{1,\infty})$, we obtain that $\overline{\psi}[\beta(\mathbb{N})] = E(X, f_{1,\infty})$. □

By the continuity of the function $\overline{\psi} : \beta(\mathbb{N}) \to X^X$ of the previous proof, the compact space $E(X, f_{1,\infty})$ is a quotient of the compact space $\beta(\mathbb{N})$.

Next, we shall describe the semigroup operation of the Ellis semigroup.

Let $(X, f_{1,\infty})$ be a nonautonomous discrete dynamical system. If $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, then we define $f_1^n * f_1^m := f_1^{n+m}$. To establish the semigroups operation for the general case we need to extend the ordinary addition on the set of natural numbers to the whole $\beta(\mathbb{N})$ as follows:

For $p \in \beta(\mathbb{N})$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $p + n := p - \lim_{m\to\infty}(m + n)$ and if $p, q \in \beta(\mathbb{N})$, then we define $p + q := q - \lim_{n\to\infty} p + n$. In terms of functions, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the *n-shift* $\lambda_n : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ by $\lambda_n(m) = m + n$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Notice that $\overline{\lambda_n}(p) = p + n$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Moreover, $\overline{\lambda_n}$ induces a homeomorphism from $\mathbb{N}^*$ to itself. Hence, it then follows that for each $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $p - n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $\overline{\lambda_n}(p - n) = p$. It is well known that $(\beta(\mathbb{N}), +)$ is a left topological semigroup (for a proof see [16]); that is, the function $q \to p + q : \beta(\mathbb{N}) \to \beta(\mathbb{N})$ is continuous for all $p \in \beta(\mathbb{N})$.

We are ready to define the semigroup operation of $E(X, f_{1,\infty})$ for the rest of its elements. Indeed, if $p, q \in \beta(\mathbb{N})$, then we define

$$f_1^p * f_1^q := f_1^{q+p}.$$

Since the operation on $\beta(\mathbb{N})$ is associative, then $E(X, f_{1,\infty})$ with the operation $*$ is a semigroup. Notice that, for a discrete dynamical system (X, f), we get the Ellis semigroup $E(X, f)$ (see [13]). We remark that this operation of $E(X, f_{1,\infty})$ does not coincide with the composition of functions as in the Ellis semigroup of a discrete dynamical system. For instance, let $X = [0,1]$ and let $f_n : X \to X$ be defined by $f_n(x) = \frac{1}{n+1}$, for each $x \in X$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $f_1 * f_1 = f_n^2 = f_2 \circ f_1 = f_2$ and $f_1 \circ f_1 = f_1$. In what follows, we shall also consider the subsemigroup $E(X, f_{1,\infty})^* = \{f_1^p : p \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$ of the Ellis semigroup $E(X, f_{1,\infty})$.

Now, we establish a nice connection between the Ellis semigroups of a nonautonomous discrete dynamical system $(X, f_{1,\infty})$ and the Ellis semigroup of the system $(X, f_{k,\infty})$, for each positive $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $f_{k,\infty}$ is the sequence of continuous functions $(f_{n+k} : X \to X)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. First, we need to prove two lemmas.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be an injective function and $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ a sequence in a compact space X. If $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\overline{\sigma}(q) = p$, then*

$$p - \lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = q - \lim_{l\to\infty} x_{\sigma(l)}.$$

In particular, we have that if $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, then

$$p - \lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = (p-k) - \lim_{i\to\infty} x_{k+i}.$$

Proof. Put $x = p - \lim_{n\to\infty} x_n$. For every $V \in \mathcal{N}(x)$, we know that

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \in V\} \in p \Leftrightarrow \{l \in \mathbb{N} : x_{\sigma(l)} \in V\} \in q.$$

Hence, we obtain that $x = q - \lim_{l\to\infty} x_{\sigma(l)}$. □

Lemma 2.5. *Let $(X, f_{1,\infty})$ be a nonautonomous discrete dynamical systems. Then, for every $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq 2$, we have that*

$$f_1^p = f_k^{p-k} \circ f_1^{k-1}.$$

Proof. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Then, by definition and Lemma 2.4, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} f_1^p(x) &= p - \lim_{n\to\infty} f_1^n(x) = p - \lim_{n\to\infty} f_n \circ f_{n-1} \circ ..... \circ f_2 \circ f_1(x) \\ &= (p-k) - \lim_{i\to\infty} f_{k+i} \circ ..... \circ f_k \circ f_{k-1} \circ ..... \circ f_2 \circ f_1(x) \\ &= (p-k) - \lim_{i\to\infty} f_{k+i} \circ ..... \circ f_k\big(f_{k-1} \circ ..... \circ f_2 \circ f_1(x)\big) \\ &= f_k^{p-k}\big(f_{k-1} \circ ..... \circ f_2 \circ f_1(x)\big), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. This shows that $f_1^p = f_k^{p-k} \circ f_1^{k-1}$. □

Theorem 2.6. *Let $(X, f_{1,\infty})$ be a nonautonomous discrete dynamical systems. Then, the space $E(X, f_{1,\infty})^*$ is a continuous image of $E(X, f_{k,\infty})^*$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq 2$.*

Proof. Let $(X, f_{1,\infty})$ be a nonautonomous discrete dynamical systems and fix $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. By Lemma 2.5, we obtain that

$$f_k^p \circ f_1^{k-1} = f_k^{(p+k)-k} \circ f_1^{k-1} = f_1^{p+k},$$

for every $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Since the composition on the right of the set X^X is continuous with the pointwise topology, it follows that the function

$$f_k^p \to f_k^p \circ f_1^{k-1} : E(X, f_{k,\infty})^* \to E(X, f_{1,\infty})^*$$

is continuous. Moreover, since the function $n \mapsto n + k : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ induces a bijection of $\mathbb{N}^*$, given $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ there is $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $q = p + k$. Hence, the function $f_k^p \to f_k^p \circ f_1^{k-1}$ is a surjection. □

Theorem 2.6 gives us a sequence of continuous surjections:

$$..... \to E(X, f_{k,\infty})^* \to ..... \to E(X, f_{2,\infty})^* \to E(X, f_{1,\infty})^*,$$

for every nonautonomous discrete dynamical system $(X, f_{1,\infty})$.

To state some properties of the Ellis semigroup of a periodic nonautonomous discrete dynamical system we need the next notation.

For each $1 < k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i < k$, we let $C_i = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \equiv i \bmod(k)\ \}$.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $(X, f_{1,\infty})$ be a nonautonomous discrete dynamical systems such that*

$$f_{1,\infty} = (f_1, f_2, ..., f_k, f_1, f_2, ..., f_k, f_1, f_2, ..., f_k, ...)$$

where $1 < k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f_i : X \to X$ is a continuous functions for each $1 \leq i \leq k$. If $p \in C_j^*$ for some $j < k$, then $f_1^p \in f_j \circ .... \circ f_2 \circ f_1 \circ E(X, f_k \circ ..... \circ f_2 \circ f_1)$.

Proof. First, notice that if $n = kl + j$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j < k$, then we obtain that

$$f_1^n = f_1^{kl+j} = f_j \circ .... \circ f_2 \circ f_1 \circ (f_k \circ .... \circ f_2 \circ f_1)^l.$$

Fix $j < k$ and $p \in C_j^*$. Consider the function $\sigma_j : \mathbb{N} \to C_j$ defined by $\sigma_j(l) = kl + j$, for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $\overline{\sigma_j}(q) = p$. By Lemma 2.4, we have that

$$f_1^p(x) = p - \lim_{n\to\infty} f_1^n(x) = q - \lim_{l\to\infty} f_1^{\sigma_j(l)}(x) = q - \lim_{l\to\infty} f_1^{kl+j}(x)$$

$$= q - \lim_{l\to\infty} f_j \circ .... \circ f_2 \circ f_1\big((f_k \circ .... \circ f_2 \circ f_1)^l(x)\big)$$
$$= f_j \circ .... \circ f_2 \circ f_1\big(q - \lim_{l\to\infty} (f_k \circ .... \circ f_2 \circ f_1)^l(x)\big)$$
$$= f_j \circ .... \circ f_2 \circ f_1\big((f_k \circ .... \circ f_2 \circ f_1)^q(x)\big)$$

for each $x \in X$. Thus, we must have that

$$f_1^p = f_j \circ .... \circ f_2 \circ f_1 \circ \big((f_k \circ .... \circ f_2 \circ f_1)^q\big) \in f_j \circ .... \circ f_2 \circ f_1 \circ E(X, f_k \circ ..... \circ f_2 \circ f_1).$$

□

The nonautonomous discrete dynamical systems $(X, f_{1,\infty})$ satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2.7 are called *periodic* and, as we have shown, its Ellis semigroup can be described by means of the Ellis semigroups of a certain discrete dynamical system.

Following the paper [19] we say that a nonautonomous discrete dynamical system $(X, \hat{f}_{1,\infty})$ is *induced* by the nonautonomous discrete dynamical system $(X, f_{1,\infty})$ if there is a strictly increasing sequence $(k_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of natural numbers such that $\hat{f}_1 := f_{k_1} \circ ..... \circ f_1$ and $\hat{f}_n := f_{k_n} \circ ..... \circ f_{k_{n-1}+1}$ for each positive $n \in \mathbb{N}$ bigger than 1. If $(k_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers, then we consider the function $\gamma : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ defined by $\gamma(n) = k_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This function will helps us to connect the Ellis semigroups of a nonautonomous discrete dynamical system and one induced by it as follows.

Theorem 2.8. *If $(X, \hat{f}_{1,\infty})$ is a nonautonomous discrete dynamical system induced by the nonautonomous discrete dynamical system $(X, f_{1,\infty})$, then*

$$\hat{f}_1^q = f_1^p,$$

provided that $p, q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\overline{\gamma}(q) = p$, where $\gamma : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is the function induced by the sequence $(k_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. In particular, we have that $E(X, \hat{f}_{1,\infty}) \subseteq E(X, f_{1,\infty})$.

Proof. We know that

$$\hat{f}_1^n = f_{k_n} \circ ..... \circ f_1 = f_1^{k_n},$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $p, q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ satisfy that $\overline{\gamma}(q) = p$ and fix $x \in X$. If $U \in \mathcal{N}(\hat{f}_1^q(x))$, then we have that $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \hat{f}_1^n(x) = f_1^{k_n}(x) \in U\} \in q$ which implies that $\{k_n \in \mathbb{N} : f_1^{k_n}(x) \in U\} \in p$. That is, $f_1^p(x) \in cl_X(U)$ and so $\hat{f}_1^q(x) = f_1^p(x)$. □

In the next theorem, we give a necessary condition which implies that $E(X, \hat{f}_{1,\infty})$ is a subsemigroup of $E(X, f_{1,\infty})$.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $\gamma : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be a one-to-one function. If $\gamma(n + m) = \gamma(n) + \gamma(m)$ for each $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, then*

$$\overline{\gamma}(p + q) = \overline{\gamma}(p) + \overline{\gamma}(q),$$

for each $p, q \in \beta(\mathbb{N})$.

Proof. Let $p, q \in \beta(\mathbb{N})$. Then, by the left continuity of the addition on $\beta(\mathbb{N})$, we have that

$$\overline{\gamma}(p + q) = \overline{\gamma}(q - \lim_{n\to\infty} p + n) = q - \lim_{n\to\infty} \overline{\gamma}(p + n) =$$
$$q - \lim_{n\to\infty} \overline{\gamma}(p - \lim_{m\to\infty} (n + m)) = q - \lim_{n\to\infty} \big(p - \lim_{m\to\infty} \gamma(n + m)\big)$$
$$= q - \lim_{n\to\infty} \big(p - \lim_{m\to\infty} (\gamma(n) + \gamma(m))\big)$$
$$= q - \lim_{n\to\infty} \big(\gamma(n) + (p - \lim_{m\to\infty} \gamma(m))\big)$$
$$= \big(p - \lim_{m\to\infty} \gamma(m)\big) + \big(q - \lim_{n\to\infty} \gamma(n)\big)$$
$$= \overline{\gamma}(p) + \overline{\gamma}(q).$$

□

Theorem 2.10. *$(X, \hat{f}_{1,\infty})$ is a nonautonomous discrete dynamical system induced by the nonautonomous discrete dynamical system $(X, f_{1,\infty})$ via a strictly increasing sequence $(k_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of positive integers. If $k_n + k_m = k_{n+m}$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $E(X, \hat{f}_{1,\infty})$ is a subsemigroup of $E(X, f_{1,\infty})$.*

Proof. Consider the function $\gamma : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ defined by $\gamma(n) = k_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix $p, q \in \beta(\mathbb{N})$ and put $\overline{\gamma}(p) = p'$ and $\overline{\gamma}(q) = q'$. By Lemma 2.9, we know that $\overline{\gamma}(p+q) = \overline{\gamma}(p) + \overline{\gamma}(q) = p' + q'$ and so, by Theorem 2.8, we obtain that

$$\hat{f}_1^{p+q} = f_1^{p'+q'} = f_1^{q'} * f_1^{p'} = \hat{f}_1^{q} * \hat{f}_1^{p}.$$

□

3. Some applications of the Ellis semigroup

In this section, we shall mainly consider those nonautonomous discrete dynamical systems $(X, f_{1,\infty})$ for which the sequence $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to a function $\phi : X \to X$. For our purposes we need the following general notions and some preliminary results.

Definition 3.1. *Let X be a compact metric space and let $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be two sequences of continuous functions from X to X. We say that $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are asymptotic at $x \in X$ if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$d(f_n(x), g_n(x)) < \epsilon \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } n > k.$$

$(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are said to be asymptotic if they are asymptotic at every point of X. The sequences $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are called uniformly asymptotic if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$d(f_n(x), g_n(x)) < \epsilon \text{ for every } x \in X \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } n > k.$$

By using free ultrafilter convergence we may generalize the previous notions as follows:

Definition 3.2. *Let X be a compact metric space and let $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be two sequences of continuous functions from X to X. For $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we say that $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are p-asymptotic at x if for every $\epsilon > 0$ we have that*

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : d(f_n(x), g_n(x)) < \epsilon\} \in p.$$

$(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are said to be p-asymptotic if they are p-asymptotic at every point of X. The sequences $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are said to be p-uniformly asymptotic if for every $\epsilon > 0$ we have that

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \forall x \in X \big(d(f_n(x), g_n(x)) < \epsilon\big)\} \in p.$$

It is evident that the asymptotic property implies the p-asymptotic property, for each $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$. For a given $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, it is easy to construct an example of two p-asymptotic sequences of continuous functions that are not asymptotic.

Lemma 3.3. *Let X be a compact metric space and let $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Let $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be two sequences of continuous functions from X to X. If $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are p-asymptotic at $x \in X$, then*

$$p - \lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(x) = p - \lim_{n\to\infty} g_n(x).$$

Proof. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Put $y = p - \lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(x)$ and $z = p - \lim_{n\to\infty} g_n(x)$. We know that

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : d(f_n(x), y) < \epsilon\} \cap \{n \in \mathbb{N} : d(g_n(x), z) < \epsilon\} \in p \text{ and}$$

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : d(f_n(x), g_n(x)) < \epsilon\} \in p.$$

Hence, it is possible to find $m \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$d(y, z) \leq d(f_m(x), y) + d(f_m(x), g_m(x)) + d(g_m(x), z) < 3\epsilon.$$

Since ϵ was taken arbitrarily, we conclude that $y = z$. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let $(X, f_{1,\infty})$ be a nonautonomous discrete dynamical system. If the sequence $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to a function $\phi : X \to X$, then the sequences $(\phi \circ f_1^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(f_1^{n+1})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are uniformly asymptotic.*

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$. By assumption, there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(\phi(x), f_n(x)) < \epsilon$, for every $x \in X$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ bigger than k. This implies that

$$d(\phi(f_1^n(x)), f_1^{n+1}(x)) = d(\phi(f_1^n(x)), f_{n+1}(f_1^n(x))) < \epsilon,$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > k$ and for every $x \in X$. □

Let see that in a nonautonomous discrete dynamical systems that we are considering some elements of their Ellis semigroup can be somehow related one to the other.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $(X, f_{1,\infty})$ be a nonautonomous discrete dynamical system. If $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to a function $\phi : X \to X$, then $\phi \circ f_1^p = f_1^{p+1}$ for each $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$.*

Proof. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and let $x \in X$. By Lemma 3.4, we have that the sequences $(\phi \circ f_1^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(f_1^{n+1})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are asymptotic at x. Then, by Lemma 3.3, we obtain that

$$p - \lim_{n\to\infty} \phi \circ f_1^n(x) = p - \lim_{n\to\infty} f_1^{n+1}(x).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi \circ f_1^p(x) = \phi\big(p - \lim_{n\to\infty} f_1^n(x)\big) &= p - \lim_{n\to\infty} \phi \circ f_1^n(x) = p - \lim_{n\to\infty} f_1^{n+1}(x) \\ &= (p+1) - \lim_{n\to\infty} f_1^n(x) = f_1^{p+1}(x).\end{aligned}$$

□

The condition $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ of the previous theorem is necessary: Indeed, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_n : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ will denote the constant function with value $\frac{1}{n+1}$. It is evident that the sequence (f_n) converges uniformly to the constant function $\phi : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ with value 0 and $\phi^m(f_1^n(x)) \neq f_1^{n+m}(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Next, we give a new proof of a result of R. Kempf [17] which uses elements of $E(X, f_{1,\infty})$. This proof is quite different from the one given in [4].

For a nonautonomous discrete dynamical system $(X, f_{1,\infty})$, recall that the *ω-limit set* of a point $x \in X$ is the set

$$\omega(x, f_{1,\infty}) := \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} cl(\{f_1^m(x) : n \leq m \in \mathbb{N}\}).$$

This generalization of ω-limit set was first considered in the paper [17]. In terms of p-limit points we have that

$$\omega(x, f_{1,\infty}) = \{y \in X : \exists p \in \mathbb{N}^* (y = p - \lim_{n\to\infty} f_1^n(x))\},$$

for each $x \in X$.

Theorem 3.6. [R. Kempf] *Let $(X, f_{1,\infty})$ be a nonautonomous discrete dynamical system. If $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to a function $\phi : X \to X$, then*

$$\phi\big(\omega(x, f_{1,\infty})\big) = \omega(x, f_{1,\infty}),$$

for every $x \in X$.

Proof. According to Theorem 3.5, for every $x \in X$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ we have that

$$\phi\big(f_1^p(x)\big) = \phi \circ f_1^p(x) = f_1^{p+1}(x),$$

and

$$\phi\big(f_1^{p-1}(x)\big) = \phi \circ f_1^{p-1}(x) = f_1^{(p-1)+1}(x) = f_1^p(x).$$

Thus, we obtain that $\phi\big(\omega(x, f_{1,\infty})\big) = \omega(x, f_{1,\infty})$. □

We already have mentioned that $E(X, f_{1,\infty})$ could contain discontinuous functions. In the next result, we give a necessary condition that guarantees the continuity of all function of the Ellis semigroup.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $(X, f_{1,\infty})$ be a nonautonomous discrete dynamical system. If either*

1. *the family $\{f_1^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is equicontinuous; or*
2. *$(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to a function $\phi : X \to X$ and the family $\{\phi \circ f_1^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is equicontinuous,*

then the function $f_1^p : X \to X$ is continuous for each $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

Proof. We only prove the theorem when the sequence $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to a function $\phi : X \to X$ and the family $\{\phi \circ f_1^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is equicontinuous. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and choose $\delta > 0$ so that if $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) < \delta$, then

$$d(\phi \circ f_1^n(x), \phi \circ f_1^n(y)) < \frac{\epsilon}{5},$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $x, y \in X$ be such that $d(x, y) < \delta$. By Lemma 3.4 there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$d(\phi \circ f_1^n(x), f_1^{n+1}(x)) < \frac{\epsilon}{5},$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > k$. Fix $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then choose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $m > k$ and

$$d(f_1^p(x), f_1^p(y)) \leq d(f_1^p(x), f_1^{m+1}(x)) + d(f_1^{m+1}(x), f_1^{m+1}(y)) + d(f_1^{m+1}(y), f_1^p(y))$$

$$\leq d(f_1^p(x), f_1^{m+1}(x)) + d(\phi \circ f_1^m(x), f_1^{m+1}(x)) + d(\phi \circ f_1^m(x), \phi \circ f_1^m(y))$$

$$+d(\phi \circ f_1^m(y), f_1^{m+1}(y)) + d(f_1^{m+1}(y), f_1^p(y)) \leq$$

$$\frac{\epsilon}{5} + \frac{\epsilon}{5} + \frac{\epsilon}{5} + \frac{\epsilon}{5} + \frac{\epsilon}{5} = \epsilon.$$

This shows the continuity of f_1^p. □

We shall see, in the next theorem, that there is a nice relationship between the Ellis semigroup $E(X, \phi)$ and $E(X, f_{1,\infty})^*$ when the sequence of functions $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to a function $\phi : X \to X$.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $(X, f_{1,\infty})$ be a nonautonomous discrete dynamical system. Then,*

$$f_1^{p+q}(x) = q - \lim_{n\to\infty} f_1^{p+n}(x),$$

for every $p, q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $x \in X$.

Proof. Fix $p, q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $x \in X$. We claim that

$$f_1^{p+q}(x) = (p + q) - \lim_{n\to\infty} f_1^n(x) = q - \lim_{n\to\infty} f_1^{p+n}(x).$$

In fact, put $y = (p + q) - \lim_{n\to\infty} f_1^n(x)$ and $z = q - \lim_{n\to\infty} f_1^{p+n}(x)$. If $V \in \mathcal{N}(y)$, then $A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : f_1^n(x) \in V\} \in p + q$ which implies that $B = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : p + n \in A^*\} \in q$. Fix $n \in B$. As $p + n \in A^*$, we must have that $\{m \in \mathbb{N} : m + n \in A\} \in p$ and hence $\{m + n \in \mathbb{N} : m + n \in A\} \in p + n$. Thus, $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : f_1^k(x) \in V\} \in p + n$. So, $f_1^{p+n}(x) \in \overline{V}$ for each $n \in B$. That is, $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : f_1^{p+n}(x) \in \overline{V}\} \in q$. Therefore, $y = z$ because of the uniqueness of the limit points with respect to an ultrafilter. □

Theorem 3.9. *Let $(X, f_{1,\infty})$ be a nonautonomous discrete dynamical system such that $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to a function $\phi : X \to X$. Then $\phi^q \circ f_1^p = f_1^{p+q}$ for every $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and for every $q \in \beta(\mathbb{N})$. In particular, the Ellis semigroup $E(X, \phi)$ acts on the semigroup $E(X, f_{1,\infty})^*$.*

Proof. Fix $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $q \in \beta(\mathbb{N})$. In virtue of Theorem 3.5, we know that $\phi \circ f_1^p = f_1^{p+1}$. Hence, we obtain that $\phi^n \circ f_1^p = f_1^{p+n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So, by Lemma 3.8, we have that

$$\phi^q \circ f_1^p(x) = q - \lim_{n\to\infty} \phi^n(f_1^p(x)) = q - \lim_{n\to\infty} f_1^{p+n}(x)$$

$$= (p + q) - \lim_{n\to\infty} f_1^n(x) = f_1^{p+q}(x)$$

for each $x \in X$. □

Now, we shall give an example of a nonautonomous discrete dynamical system $(X, f_{1,\infty})$ such that $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to a function $\phi : X \to X$ and $\phi^p \circ f_1 \notin E(X, f_{1,\infty})$ for any $p \in \beta(\mathbb{N})$. This witnesses that the Ellis semigroup $E(X, \phi)$ does not necessarily act on the entire semigroup $E(X, f_{1,\infty})$.

Example 3.10. *Let us consider the unit interval* $[0,1]$. *We define* $\phi:[0,1]\to[0,1]$ *by*

$$\phi(x)=\begin{cases} x & \text{if } x\in[0,\frac{1}{2}]\\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x\in(\frac{1}{2},1],\end{cases}$$

and

$$f_1(x)=\begin{cases} \frac{2}{3}x & \text{if } x\in[0,\frac{1}{2}]\\ \frac{1}{3}(x+\frac{1}{2}) & \text{if } x\in(\frac{1}{2},1].\end{cases}$$

The graph of the function f_1 *consists of two closed segments of* $\mathbb{R}^2$ *that connect the point* $(0,0)$ *with* $(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{3})$ *and the point* $(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{3})$ *with* $(1,\frac{1}{2})$. *For each* $1<n\in\mathbb{N}$ *we define*

$$f_n(x)=\begin{cases} \frac{n}{n+1}x & \text{if } x\in[0,\frac{1}{n})\\ (x-\frac{1}{2})(\frac{n(2-n-1)}{(n+1)(2-n)})+\frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x\in[\frac{1}{n},\frac{1}{2})\\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x\in[\frac{1}{2},1].\end{cases}$$

In this case, the graph of the function f_n *consists of three closed segments of* $\mathbb{R}^2$ *which are the one connecting the points* $(0,0)$ *and* $(\frac{1}{n},\frac{1}{n+1})$, *the one connecting the points* $(\frac{1}{n},\frac{1}{n+1})$ *and* $(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$, *and the one connecting the points* $(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$ *and* $(1,\frac{1}{2})$. *Clearly, the sequence* $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *converges uniformly to the function* ϕ. *Also, we have that* $f_1(1)=\frac{1}{2}$, $f_n(\frac{1}{n})=\frac{1}{n+1}=f_1^n(1)$, *for every* $2\leq n\in\mathbb{N}$, *and* $\phi^p=\phi$, *for each* $p\in\beta(\mathbb{N})$. *Thus,* $\phi^p(\frac{1}{2})=\frac{1}{2}$, *for each* $p\in\beta(\mathbb{N})$, *and hence* $\phi^p(f_1(1))=\phi^p(1)=\frac{1}{2}$, *for each* $p\in\beta(\mathbb{N})$. *As* $f_1^q(1)=0$ *for each* $q\in\mathbb{N}^*$, *we obtain that* $\phi^p\circ f_1\neq f_1^q$ *for every* $p,q\in\beta(\mathbb{N})$.

Given a nonautonomous discrete dynamical system $(X,f_{1,\infty})$, for each $p\in\mathbb{N}^*$ we define

$$E_p(X,f_{1,\infty}):=\{f_1^{p+q}:q\in\beta(\mathbb{N})\}.$$

Notice that $E_p(X,f_{1,\infty})$ is a subsemigroup of $E(X,f_{1,\infty})$ for every $p\in\mathbb{N}^*$.

Theorem 3.11. *Let* $(X,f_{1,\infty})$ *be a nonautonomous discrete dynamical system that* $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *converges uniformly to a function* $\phi:X\to X$. *Then* $E_p(X,f_{1,\infty})$ *is a continuous image of* $E(X,\phi)$ *for each* $p\in\mathbb{N}^*$. *As a consequence,* $E_p(X,f_{1,\infty})$ *is a quotient space of the space* $E(X,\phi)$ *for every* $p\in\mathbb{N}^*$.

Proof. Fix $p\in\mathbb{N}^*$. Define $\Psi_p:E(X,\phi)\to E(X,f_{1,\infty})$ by $\Psi_p(\phi^q)=f_1^{p+q}$ for each $q\in\beta(\mathbb{N})$. This function Ψ_p is well defined. Indeed, if $\phi^s=\phi^t$ for some $s,t\in\beta(\mathbb{N})$, by Theorem 3.9, then $\phi^s\circ f_1^p=f_1^{p+s}=f_1^{p+t}=\phi^t\circ f_1^p$. Let us show that Ψ_p is continuous. Consider the the open set $V=\bigcap_{i\leq l}[x_i,V_i]$ where $x_i\in X$ and $V_i\subseteq X$ is a nonempty open set for each $i\leq l$. If $\phi^q\in\bigcap_{i\leq l}[f^p(x_i),V_i]$ for some $q\in\beta(\mathbb{N})$, then $\phi^q(f_1^p(x_i))=f_1^{p+q}(x_i)\in V_i$ for all $i\leq l$. This shows that Ψ_p is continuous. □

The next theorem provides a very interesting information concerning fixed points of the function ϕ when the sequence $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to ϕ.

Theorem 3.12. *Let* $(X,f_{1,\infty})$ *be a nonautonomous discrete dynamical system such that* $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *converges uniformly to a function* $\phi:X\to X$. *Then, the function* $\phi:X\to X$ *has a fixed point in* $\omega(x,f_{1,\infty})$ *for every* $x\in X$.

Proof. Fix $x\in X$ and consider the set $\{d(f_1^p(x),f_1^{p+1}(x)):p\in\mathbb{N}^*\}$. Since the function $p\mapsto(f_1^p(x),f_1^{p+1}(x)):\beta(\mathbb{N})\to X\times X$ is continuous[1] and $\mathbb{N}^*$ is compact, then there is $q\in\mathbb{N}^*$ such that

$$d(f_1^q(x),f_1^{q+1}(x))=\inf\{d(f_1^p(x),f_1^{p+1}(x)):p\in\mathbb{N}^*\}.$$

If $d(f_1^q(x),f_1^{q+1}(x))=0$, then it follows from Theorem 3.9 that $\phi(f_1^q(x))=f_1^{q+1}(x)=f_1^q(x)$ and hence $f_1^q(x)$ is a fixed point of ϕ. Suppose that $0<\epsilon=d(f_1^q(x),f_1^{q+1}(x))$. Choose $k\in\mathbb{N}$ so that

$$d(\phi(f_1^n(x)),f_1^{n+1}(x))=d(\phi(f_1^n(x)),f_{n+1}(f_1^n(x)))<\frac{\epsilon}{2},$$

for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$ with $n>k$. On the other hand, we know that $\phi(f_1^q(x))=q-\lim_{n\to\infty}\phi(f_1^n(x))$ and

$$f_1^{q+1}(x)=(q+1)-\lim_{n\to\infty}f_1^n(x)=q-\lim_{n\to\infty}f_1^{n+1}(x).$$

[1]It is the Stone extension of the continuous function $n\mapsto(f_1^n(x),f_1^{n+1}(x)):\mathbb{N}\to X\times X$.

Hence, we obtain that $d(f_1^q(x), f_1^{q+1}(x)) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ which is a contradiction. □

The following two corollaries are direct consequence of Theorem 3.9.

Corollary 3.13. *Let $(X, f_{1,\infty})$ be a nonautonomous discrete dynamical system such that $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to a function $\phi : X \to X$. If $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ is an idempotent[2], then $\phi^p \circ f_1^{q+p} = f_1^{q+p+p} = f_1^{q+p}$ for all $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$. That is, $f_1^{q+p}(x)$ is a fixed point of ϕ^p for every $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and for every $x \in X$.*

The next results should be compared with Proposition 2.1 from [5].

Corollary 3.14. *Let $(X, f_{1,\infty})$ be a nonautonomous discrete dynamical system such that $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to a function $\phi : X \to X$, let $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and let $x \in X$. Then, $f_1^p(x)$ is a periodic point of ϕ iff there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f_1^p(x) = f_1^{p+n}(x)$.*

Our last task is to prove that the Ellis semigroup of two topologically conjugate nonautonomous discrete dynamical systems are topologically isomorphic. Let us remind the definition of topologically conjugate which was introduced in [6].

Definition 3.15. *Two nonautonomous discrete dynamical systems $(X, f_{1,\infty})$ and $(Y, g_{1,\infty})$ are called topologically semi-conjugate if there is a surjective continuous function $h : X \to Y$ such that $g_n(h(x)) = h(f_n(x))$ for every $x \in X$ and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If the function h is a homeomorphism, then we say that they are topologically conjugate.*

Theorem 3.16. *Let $(X, f_{1,\infty})$ and $(Y, g_{1,\infty})$ be two nonautonomous discrete dynamical systems topologically semi-conjugate via the surjective continuous function $h : X \to Y$. Then, $E(Y, g_{1,\infty})$ is a continuous image of $E(X, f_{1,\infty})$. If h is a homeomorphism, then $E(Y, g_{1,\infty})$ and $E(X, f_{1,\infty})$ are topologically isomorphic.*

Proof. Sine $g_n \circ h = h \circ f_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we must have that $g_1^n \circ h = h \circ f_1^n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, we obtain that $g_1^p \circ h = h \circ f_1^p$ for every $p \in \beta(\mathbb{N})$. We shall prove that the function $H : E(X, f_{1,\infty}) \to E(Y, g_{1,\infty})$ defined by $H(f_1^p) = g_1^p$, for each $p \in \beta(\mathbb{N})$, is well-defined and continuous. To prove that this function is well-defined assume that $f_1^p = f_1^q$ for some $p, q \in \beta(\mathbb{N})$. Then, $g_1^p \circ h = h \circ f_1^p = h \circ f_1^q = g_1^q \circ h$ and since h is onto, we must have that $g_1^p = g_1^q$. Now, we shall show that the function H is continuous. Consider the basic open subset $V = \bigcap_{i\leq l}[y_i, V_i]$ where $y_i \in Y$ and V_i is a nonempty open subset of Y, for every $i \leq l$. For each $i \leq l$, set $U_i = h^{-1}(V_i)$ and choose $x_i \in X$ so that $h(x_i) = y_i$. Put $U = \bigcap_{i\leq l}[x_i, U_i]$ and suppose that $f_1^p \in U$ for some $p \in \beta(\mathbb{N})$. Then, $f_1^p(x_i) \in U_i$ and so $h(f_1^p(x_i)) = g_1^p(h(x_i)) = g_1^p(y_i) \in V_i$, for each $i \leq l$. That is, $H(f_1^p) = g_1^p \in V$. This shows the continuity of the function H. It is clear that if h is a homeomorphism, then H is a topological isomorphism. □

Let $(X, f_{1,\infty}$ nonautonomous discrete dynamical system, where $f_{1,\infty} = (f_n : X \to X)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. The orbit $\mathcal{O}_{f_{1,\infty}}(x) := \{x, f_1^1(x), f_1^2(x), ..., f_1^n(x), ...\}$ of a point $x \in X$ can be also described by the difference equation: $x_1 = x$ and $x_{n+1} = f_n(x_n) = f_1^n(x)$ for each positive $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We may generalize the notion of nonautonomous difference equation as follows:

For $x \in X$ and $p \in \beta(\mathbb{N})$, we define $x_1 := x$ and $x_p := p - \lim_{n\to\infty} f_{n-1}(x_{n-1}) = p - \lim_{n\to\infty} x_n$.

Theorem 3.17. *Let $(X, f_{1,\infty})$ be a nonautonomous discrete dynamical system and $x \in X$. Consider the nonautonomous difference equation $x_1 = x$, and $x_{n+1} = f_n(x_n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have that*

$$x_p = f_1^{p-1}(x),$$

for all $p \in \beta(\mathbb{N})$. Besides, we have that $cl_X(\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) = \{x_p : p \in \beta(\mathbb{N})\}$.

Proof. According to Lemma 2.4, we have that

$$x_p = p - \lim_{n\to\infty} f_{n-1}(x_{n-1}) = p - \lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = (p-1) - \lim_{n\to\infty} x_{n+1} = f_1^{p-1}(x).$$

The function $n \mapsto x_n : \mathbb{N} \to X$ extends continuously to the function $p \mapsto x_p : \beta(\mathbb{N}) \to X$ and hence we obtain that $cl_X(\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) = \{x_p : p \in \beta(\mathbb{N})\}$. □

Assume that $(X, f_{1,\infty})$ is a nonautonomous discrete dynamical system such that $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to a function $\phi : X \to X$. According to Theorem 3.5, we have that $\phi(x_{p+n}) = x_{p+n+1}$ for each $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in$X.

[2]That is, $p + p = p$.

References

1. F. Balibrea, T. Caraballo, P. D. Kloeden and J. Valero, *Recent developments in dynamical systems: Three perspectives*, Inter. J. Bifurcation and Chaos. **20** (2010), no. 9, 2591–2636.
2. A. R. Bernstein, *A new kind of compactness for topological spaces*, Fund. Math. **66** (1970), 185-193.
3. A. Blass, *Ultrafilters: where topological dynamics = algebra = combinatorics*, Topology Proc. **18** (1993), 33–56
4. J. S. Cánovas, *On ω-limit sets of nonautonomous discrete system*, J. Difference Equations and Appl. **12** (2006), 95–100.
5. J. S. Cánovas, *Li-Yorke chaos in a class of nonautonomous discrete system*, J. Difference Equations and Appl. **17** (2011), 479–486.
6. B. Chen and L. Liu, *On ω-limit sets and attraction of nonautonomous discrete dynamical systems*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **49** (2012), 703-713.
7. R. Das and D. Thakkar, *On nonautonomous discrete dynamical systems*, Int. J. Anal. (2014), Article ID 538691.
8. R. Das and D. Thakkar, *Some properties of chain recurrent sets in a nonautonomous discrete dynamical system* Adv. Pure Appl. Math. **6** (2015), 173–178.
9. R. Ellis, *A semigroup associated with a transformation group*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **94** (1960), 272–281.
10. H. Furstenberg, *Recurrence in Ergodic Theory and Combinatorial Number Theory*, Princeton University Press, 1981.
11. S. Garcia-Ferreira, *Dynamical properties of certain continuous self maps of the Cantor set*, Topology and its Applications **159** (2012), 1719 –1733
12. S. Garcia-Ferreira and M. Sanchis, *Ultrafilter-limit points in metric dynamical systems*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **48** (2007), 465–485.
13. S. Garcia-Ferreira and M. Sanchis, *Some remarks on the topology of the Ellis semigroup of a discrete dynamical system*, Topology Proceedings **42** (2013), 121–140.
14. E. Glasner, *Enveloping semigroups in topological dynamics*, Topology Appl. **154** (2007), no. 11, 2344–2363.
15. H. Gonshor, *Remarks on a paper by Bernstein*, Fund. Math. **74** (1972), 195–196.
16. N. Hindman and D. Strauss, *Algebra in the Stone-Čech Compactification*, Walter de Gruyter, 1998.
17. R. Kempf, *On Ω-limit sets of discrete-time dynamical systems*, J. Difference Equations and Appl. **8** (2002), 1121–1131.
18. S. Kolyada and L. Snoha, *Topological entropy of nonautonomous dynamical systems*, Random Comp. Dynam. **4** (1996), no. 2-3, 205–233.
19. Y. Shi, *Chaos in nonautonomous discrete dynamcial systems asymptotic by their induced mappings*, Int. J. Bifurcation and Chaos **22** (2012), no. 11, 1–12.
20. H. Zhu, L. Liu and J. Wang, *A note on stronger forms of sensitivity for inverse limit dynamical systems*, Advances in Difference equations (2015), 2015:101, 1–9.

Centro de Ciencias Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Campus Morelia, Apartado Postal 61-3, Santa María, 58089, Morelia, Michoacán, México

E-mail address: sgarcia@matmor.unam.mx

Institut de Matemàtiques i Aplicacions de Castelló (IMAC), Universitat Jaume I, Campus Riu Sec, 12071-Castelló, Spain

E-mail address: sanchis@mat.uji.es